# CORRELATION \& REGRESSION 

CHAPTER 10

## CORRELATION

- Data on each of two variables, where EACH VALUE OF ONE OF THE VARIABLES IS PaIRED WITH A VALUE OF THE Other VARIABLE.
- APPENDIX B DATA SET 14 (P754)


## BIVARIATE DATA

| Car weight (lbs) | Highway MPG |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2560 | 34 |
| 2895 | 33 |
| 3320 | 28 |
| 3465 | 28 |
| 3835 | 26 |
| 4180 | 24 |

## SCATTERPLOT

- USE THE STATISTICAL SOFTWARE ON YOUR CALCULATOR
- LIST EDITOR
- Stat Plot
- ZOOM STAT




## TI-84 Plus

## 



STAT PLOT F1 TELSET F2 FORMAT F3 CALC F4 TABLE F5


- CORRELATION EXISTS BETWEEN TWO VARIABLE WHEN THE VALUES OF ONE VARIABLE ARE ASSOCIATED WITH THE VAlUES OF THE OTHER VARIABLE.


## CORRELATION

- Linear Correlation exists between TWO VARIABLES WHEN THERE IS A CORRELATION AND THE PLOTTED POINTS OF PAIRED DATA RESULT IN A PATTERN THAT CAN BE APPROXIMATED BY A STRAIGHT LINE.


## SCATTERPLOTS

## LINEAR CORRELATION

COEFFICIENT, $r$, MEASURES THE STRENGTH OF THE LINEAR RELATIONSHIP

$$
-1 \leq r \leq 1
$$


(a) Positive correlation: $r=0.851$

## ActivStats



CS Scanned with
(c) No correlation: $r=0$

ActivStats

(b) Negative correlation: $r=-0.965$

## Minitab


(d) Nonlinear relationship: $\boldsymbol{r}=\mathbf{- 0 . 0 8 7}$

## MEASURING CORRELATION COEFFICIENT

- 3 METHODS
- SUMS OF THE SQUARES \& SQUARES OF THE SUMS
- Z-SCORES
- TECHNOLOGY


## CORRELATION COEFFICIENT FORMULA 10-1 (P499)

$$
r=\frac{\left.n\left(\sum x y\right)-\left(\sum x\right)\left(\sum y\right)^{2}\right)}{\sqrt{n\left(\sum x^{2}\right)-\left(\sum x\right)^{2}} \sqrt{n\left(\sum y^{2}\right)-\left(\sum y\right)^{2}}}
$$

$$
r=\frac{n\left(\sum x y\right)-\left(\sum x\right)\left(\sum y\right)}{\sqrt{n\left(\sum x^{2}\right)-\left(\sum x\right)^{2}} \sqrt{n\left(\sum y^{2}\right)-\left(\sum y\right)^{2}}}
$$

|  | x (car weight) | y (MPG) | x^2 | $\mathrm{y}^{\wedge}$ | xy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2560 | 34 | 6553600 | 1156 | 87040 |
|  | 2895 | 33 | 8381025 | 1089 | 95535 |
|  | 3320 | 28 | 11022400 | 784 | 92960 |
|  | 3465 | 28 | 12006225 | 784 | 97020 |
|  | 3825 | 26 | 14630625 | 676 | 99450 |
|  | 4180 | 24 | 17472400 | 576 | 100320 |
| sums | 20245 | 173 | 70066275 | 5065 | 572325 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r=\frac{6(572325)-(20245)(173)}{\sqrt{6(70066275)-(20245)^{2}} \sqrt{6(5065)-(173)^{2}}} \\
& r=-0.982
\end{aligned}
$$

## CORRELATION COEFFICIENT FORMULA 10-2 (P499)

$$
r=\frac{\sum\left(z_{x} z_{y}\right)}{n-1}
$$

$z_{x}$ DENOTES THE $z$ SCORE FOR AN INDIVIDUAL SAMPLE VALUE $x$ $z_{y}$ DENOTES THE $z$ SCORE FOR AN INDIVIDUAL SAMPLE VALUE $y$

|  | x (car weight) | zx | y (MPG) | zy | zx*zy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2560 | -1.3737361 | 34 | 1.318027211 | -1.8106215 |
|  | 2895 | -0.8084936 | 33 | 1.06292517 | -0.8593682 |
|  | 3320 | -0.0913949 | 28 | -0.212585034 | 0.0194292 |
|  | 3465 | 0.1532623 | 28 | -0.212585034 | -0.0325813 |
|  | 3825 | 0.760687 | 26 | -0.722789116 | -0.5498163 |
|  | 4180 | 1.3596753 | 24 | -1.232993197 | -1.6764704 |
| mean | 3374.16667 |  | 28.833333 |  | -4.9094285 |
| st dev | 592.666 |  | 3.92 |  |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r=\frac{\sum\left(z_{x} z_{y}\right)}{n-1}, \\
& r=-4.909 \\
& r=-0.9818
\end{aligned}
$$

## USING TECHNOLOGY

- Tl-84
- LIST EDITOR
- StAT
- CALC
- LINREGRESSION

\section*{| 1.1 | Lz | L3 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1480 | 34 | -........... |  |
| 885 | 3 |  |  |
| 34e | 目 |  |  |
| 4185 | \% |  |  |
| ----- |  |  |  |

墭 TEXAS Instruments



## CORRELATION FOR $\lfloor$ ARGE DATA SETS

## TII-84 Plus

## this Texas Instruments

- APPENDIX B DATA SEI 14 (P754)
- What if we use all of the data in DATA SET 14
- $n=21$



## CORRE ATION FOR LARGE DATA SETS



## TIT-84 Plus <br> 青 Texas Instruments



FORMAT F3 CALC FA
TABLE F5
TABLE

SMAL V. $\triangle$ ARGE DATA SETS

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n=6 \\
& r=-0.9818
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
n=21 \\
r=-0.7927
\end{array}
$$

## SMALL.V. LARGE DATA SETS

- ARE WE SUGGESTING that THE LARGER DATA SET HAS A WEAKER LINEAR RELATIONSHIP?
- USE HYPOTHESIS TESTING TO TEST THE CLAIM OF A LINEAR CORRELATION BETWEEN TWO VARIABLES


## HYPOTHESIS TESTING FOR LINEAR CORRELATION

- $r$ - THE SAMPLE CORRELATION COEFFICIENT
- $\rho($ RHO $)$ - THE POPULATION CORRELATION COEFFICIENT
- $H_{0}: \rho=0$ (THERE IS NO LINEAR CORRELATION)
- $H_{A}: \rho \neq 0$ (THERE IS A LINEAR CORRELATION)


## THE TEST STATISTIC

- $t=\frac{r}{\sqrt{\frac{1-r^{2}}{n-2}}}$
- Critical Value can be FOUND IN TABLE A-3
- $n-2$ DEGREES OF FREEDOM


## HYPOTHESIS TEST

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}: \rho=0 \\
& H_{A}: \rho=0 \\
& r=-0.7927 \\
& n=21 \\
& d f=19 \\
& \alpha=0.05 \\
& t_{\alpha / 2}=2.093
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
t=-5.66
$$

## ALTERNATIVE METHOD

- INSTEAD OF USING THE TEST STATISTIC; COMPARE THE SAMPLE CORRELATION COEFFICIENT TO THE CRItical Values of the Pearson CORRELATION COEFFICIENT $r$
- TAbLE A-6 ON PAGE 732
- $r=-0.7927$
- $n=21$

TO TEST $H_{0}: \rho=0$ AGAINST $H_{A}: \rho \neq 0$, REJECT $H_{0}$ IF THE ABSOLUTE VALUE OF $r$ IS GREATER THAN THE CRITICAL VALUE IN THE TABLE.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}: \rho=0 \\
& H_{A}: \rho \neq 0 \\
& r=-0.7927 \\
& n=21
\end{aligned}
$$

## $0.7927>0.444$ <br> $$
\text { reject } H_{0}
$$

Table A-6 Critical Values of the

| $n$ | $\alpha=.05$ | $\alpha=.01$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | .950 | .990 |
| 5 | .878 | .959 |
| 6 | .811 | .917 |
| 7 | .754 | .875 |
| 8 | .707 | .834 |
| 9 | .666 | .798 |
| 10 | .632 | .765 |
| 11 | .602 | .735 |
| 12 | .576 | .708 |
| 13 | .553 | .684 |
| 14 | .532 | .661 |
| 15 | .514 | .541 |
| 16 | .497 | .823 |
| 17 | .482 | .606 |
| 18 | .468 | .590 |
| 19 | .456 | .575 |
| 20 | .444 | .561 |
| 25 | .396 | .505 |
| 30 | .361 | .463 |
| 35 | .335 | .430 |
| 40 | .312 | .402 |
| 45 | .294 | .378 |
| 50 | .279 | .361 |
| 60 | .254 | .330 |
| 70 | .236 | .305 |
| 80 | 230 | 20 |

## HOMEOWRK

- P513 \# 13-16, 24, 29


## ADDIIONA THINES ABOUI CORRFAIION

## PROPERTIES OF $r$ r

- The value of ris always: between -1 AND 11 INCLUSIVE
- THE VALUE OF $r$ IS NOT AFFECTED BY THE CHOICE OF X:OR:Y.
- $r$ ONLY MEASURES THE STRENGTH OF A LINEAR RELATIONSHIP
- $r$ IS VERY SENSITIVE TO OUTLIERS


## COMMONERRORS

- CORRELATIONDOES NOT MEAN GAUSATION
- ERRRORS ARISE WHEN DATA IS BASED ON: AVERAGES OR RATES
- r IS ONLY A TEST FOR LINEAR CORRELATION. SUST BECAUSE PAIRED DATA ARE NOT RELATED LINEARLY DOES NOT MEAN THAT THEY AREN'T RELATED IN SOME OTHER WAY.


## $r^{2}$ THEPROPORTION OF VARIATION

- $r=-0.7 .927$
- $r^{2}=0.6284$
- THIS MEANS THAT $62.84 \%$ OF THE VARIATION IN THE MPG CAN BE EXPLAINED BY THE LINEAR RELATIONSHIP


## Linear Regression <br> 10-3

## Regression line

OThe straight line that "best" fits a set of bivariate data
$\hat{y}=b_{0}+b_{1} x$
O $x$ - predictor variable, independent variable
O $\hat{y}$ - response variable, or dependent variable
O $b_{0}$ - y-intercept
O $b_{1}$ - slope

## Linear Regression

OUse the linear regression function in the calculator to find the equation for the line of best fit


## Requirement check

O Data is assumed to be simple random sample
O A scatterplot suggests a linear pattern
OThere is a linear correlation
OThere are no outliers


## scaitierplots

## TII-84 Plus

気俍 Texas Instruments


STAT PLOT F1 TBLSET F2 FORMAT F3 CALC F4 TABLE F5


## Linear regression

O Use three significant digits
$\mathrm{O} \hat{y}=50.4-0.006 x$


## Storing the regression equation



## Making predictions

$$
\hat{y}=50.4-0.006 x
$$

O Find the expected Highway Fuel efficiency for a car weighing 5000 lbs .
O Find the fuel efficiency of the Hummer H2 weighing 6,400 lbs
O Find the fuel efficiency of the Smart Car weighing 1,500 lbs

O How much should a car weight to get 60 MPG ? ?

## What if there is no linear correlation!?

OThen the value of $\hat{y}$ is assumed to be $\bar{y}$ for any predictor value of $x$
OWhy?
OBecause $\bar{y}$ is the expected value of $\hat{y}$

## Homeowrk

OP529 \#13-16, 24, 29

